Near-field time-of-arrival measurements for four feed-arms with a bicone switch
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Abstract

This paper considers four feed-arms with a bicone switch. Spherical TEM waves are launched from the switch center and guided by the feed arms. Numerical simulations are used to examine the time-of-arrival of electric fields in the near field.
1 Introduction

The primary objective in the design of a switch system is to ensure that (approximate) spherical TEM waves originate from the switch center. The first stage in the design is to investigate the effects of the switch cone geometry.

Motivated by the prototype IRA (PIRA or ΠRA), the initial approach assumed the center of the switch cones to be spatially isolated from the first focal point [1–4]. However, the results in [5] indicate that the geometric center of the cones can be shifted to coincide with the first focal point. This considerably simplifies the design and reduces the overall complexity of the switch system.

This paper examines two configurations, in which a bicone source, with its geometric center at the first focal point, is connected to the four feed arms of a PSIRA (or ΨRA). The first configuration consists of a vertical bicone source and the second consists of a slanted bicone source. A completely analytical treatment of the problem is too involved due to the complex geometry of the feed-arm and switch cone structures. Therefore, numerical simulations are used to obtain insight into the problem. Results examining the time of arrival of electric fields, in the near-field, are presented.

2 Determination of cone half-angles

The analytical relation between the impedance of a cone and its half-angle provides a starting point for the design of the switch cones. The half-angle and height are used to completely define the geometry of the cones. As a first approximation, the impedance of the cones is designed to match into the impedance of the feed arms of the PSIRA. The height of the cones is arbitrary, but the approximate dimensions in [6] are used.

2.1 Vertical switch cone

Consider the vertical switch cone geometry in Fig. 2.1. From [7], the pulse impedance of a circular cone antenna, over a ground plane, is given by

\[ Z_c = \sqrt{\mu \over \epsilon} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left[ \cot \left( \frac{\theta_0}{2} \right) \right], \]  

where \( \theta_0 \) is the half-angle of the cone. For a four feed-arm PSIRA, \( Z_c = 100 \ \Omega \Rightarrow \theta_0 = 21.37^\circ \).

2.2 Slanted switch cone

For a given pulse impedance, \( Z'_c \), the half-angle of slanted circular cones, \( \alpha \), is determined using a stereographic projection. The stereographic projection formulas, Fig. 2.2, are detailed in [8] and are adopted for the switch cones shown in Fig. 2.3.

From Fig. 2.3, \( \beta = (\pi/2) - \alpha \). The characteristic impedance for the bent circular cones is [8],

\[ Z'_c = f_g Z_0, \]  

where \( Z_0 \approx 377 \ \Omega \) is the impedance of free space. \( f_g \) is the geometric factor given by,

\[ f_g = {1 \over \pi} \arccosh \left( {\sin \beta \over \sin \alpha} \right). \]
For the four feed-arm PSIRA, $Z'_c = 200 \, \Omega$. The half-angle is,

$$\alpha = \arccot \left[ \cosh \left( \frac{\pi Z'_c}{Z_0} \right) \right] = 20.04^\circ,$$

which is less than the half-angle for the vertical switch cones.

\footnote{note that equation (2.2) is for a bicone whereas equation (2.1) is for a single cone over a ground plane}
3 Simulation setup

3.1 Structure visualization

3.1.1 Four feed arms with vertical switch cones

The perspective, front and side views of the vertical switch cones, connected to the feed arms, are shown in Fig. 3.1.

Figure 3.1: Perspective, front and side views of the vertical bicone switch and four feed-arm system.
The bicone impedance is 200 Ω, to match into the impedance of the feed arms. The geometric center of the switch cones is the first focal point. The connection between the circular base of the switch cones and the flat face of the feed-arms will henceforth be referred to as the “loft” connection, in accordance with terminology used by CST@Microwave Studio, as shown in Fig. 3.2. The details of the switch cone geometry and excitation are shown in Fig. 3.3.

Figure 3.2: “Loft” connection between circular switch cone base and feed arms.

Figure 3.3: Geometric details of the switch cones and loft connections. The geometric center of the switch cones is located at the first focal point. The excitation is applied between a 0.5 mm gap.
3.1.2 Four feed arms with slanted switch cones

![Diagram of slanted switch and feed arms](image)

(a) Perspective view  
(b) Front view  
(c) Side view

Figure 3.4: Perspective, front and side views of the slanted bicone switch and four feed-arm system.

The perspective, front and side views of the slanted switch cones, connected to the feed arms, are shown in Fig. 3.4. The radius of the cones and the loft length are identical to Fig. 3.3. Each switch cone has an impedance of 100 Ω to match into the impedance of the feed-arms. The (virtual) apex of the cones meet at the first focal point.

3.2 Excitation

A 25 ps, 1 V, ramp rising step excitation was applied between a 0.5 mm gap. For the vertical cones, the discrete port excitation passes through the focal point as shown in Fig. 3.3. For the slanted cones, this is not the case (although the distance between the discrete port and focal point is less than $\approx 0.12$ mm).

3.3 Electric field probe placements and orientations

It is desired to observe the time of arrival of the electric fields in the near-field. Therefore, electric field probes were placed on a (virtual) sphere of radius 3.0 cm centered at the first focal point. Three probes, oriented along the $x$, $y$ and $z$ direction, were placed at every point. The probes were placed on the $xy$, $-yz$ and $-zx$ planes, i.e., in the direction of propagation toward the reflector as shown in Fig. 3.5. The labeling conventions adopted are shown in Fig. 3.6.

The $(x, y, z)$ components of the electric fields, obtained from the probes, were converted into the equivalent $(r, \theta, \phi)$ components to obtain the tangential and normal components on the (virtual)
Figure 3.5: Perspective view of probe locations.

Figure 3.6: Labeling conventions for the electric field probe placements in the $xy$, $-yz$ and $-zx$ planes.

measurement sphere. The conventional definition of the spherical coordinate system, Fig. 3.7, was used, i.e.,

\begin{align*}
E_r &= E_x \sin \theta \cos \phi + E_y \sin \theta \sin \phi + E_z \cos \theta \\
E_\theta &= E_x \cos \theta \cos \phi + E_y \cos \theta \sin \phi - E_z \sin \theta \\
E_\phi &= -E_x \sin \phi + E_y \cos \phi
\end{align*}

\footnote{Figure excerpted from Balanis, Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics}
3.4 Important CST parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excitation</td>
<td>Discrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Ramp rising with 25 ps rise time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitation voltage</td>
<td>1 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency range</td>
<td>0–40 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPW</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Discussion

Since we are only interested in the near-field region, i.e., around the switch cones, the simulation space can be considerably reduced, allowing for increased numerical resolution. Hence, the feed arms are truncated in the setups shown in Fig. 3.1 and Fig. 3.4.

The calculations of the cone half-angles in section 2 only serve as a first approximation in the design. This is because the (arbitrary) loft connections complicate the problem. For a probe at some fixed location in the near field, there may be alternate ray paths within the rise time of the input pulse that would contribute to the electric field as shown in Fig. 3.8. If a spherical wave emanates from the first focal point, the electric fields should arrive on all the near-field probes at exactly the same time.
4 Results

4.1 Four feed arms with vertical switch cones

The normalized $E_\theta$ and $E_\phi$ components of the electric field responses in the $xy$, $-yz$ and $-zx$ planes are shown in Fig. 4.1 (in each figure, every curve is normalized with respect to its maximum). The normalized results provide a comparative insight into the time of arrival of the electric fields (clear times) on the same plane. The $E_\phi$ component in the $-yz$-plane and the $E_\theta$ component in the $-zx$-plane should be zero, as observed in Fig. 4.1(c) and Fig. 4.1(f). The $-zx$ plane contains only the normalized $E_y$ component and as observed in Fig. 4.1(e), the time spread is less than 2 ps in this plane. As seen in Fig. 4.1(a) and Fig. 4.1(d), the normalized $E_\phi$ component in the $xy$-plane and the normalized $E_\theta$ component in the $-yz$-plane show a spread of less than 5 ps. The largest deviations, of the order of $\approx$10-20 ps, are observed in the normalized $E_\theta$ component in the $xy$-plane, Fig. 4.1(b). However, by symmetry, for every $E_\theta$ component there exists an equal and opposite $E_\theta$ component. These equal and opposite components cancel each other and do not contribute toward the impulse response at the second focal point.

4.2 Four feed arms with slanted switch cones

The results are almost identical to the vertical switch cones in Fig. 4.1. The oscillations in late time for some responses is most likely due to numerical errors in the simulation. The only noticeable difference is in the normalized $E_\theta$ component in the $xy$-plane, as seen in Fig. 4.2(b). This component has a smaller time spread, less than 10 ps, for approximately 30 ps ($t_\delta$) after which the time spread between the curves increases. The better agreement, compared to the vertical bicone switch, is most likely due to the smoother transition of the loft connections.
Figure 4.1: Normalized $E_\theta$ and $E_\phi$ components of the responses from the electric field probes on the $xy, -yz$ and $-zx$ planes for the vertical bicone switch configuration.
Figure 4.2: Normalized $E_\theta$ and $E_\phi$ components of the responses from the electric field probes on the $xy$, $-yz$ and $-zx$ planes for the slanted bicone switch configuration.
4.3 The affect of the setup geometry on the electric field

Specific features pertaining to the geometry of the simulation setup can be identified from the electric field responses in Fig. 4.1 and Fig. 4.2. Figure 4.3 illustrates this point using Fig. 4.1(e) as an example. The initial rise, \( t_\delta = 25 \text{ ps} \), represents propagation of the spherically expanding wave along the, rotationally symmetric, switch cones. Good agreement in the electric field responses is observed up to the height of the cones, i.e., \( 1.27 \text{ cm} \approx 40 \text{ ps} \). The deviation observed after \( \approx 40 \text{ ps} \) represents the propagation of the wave along the feed arms, which is more non-uniform due to the lack of rotational symmetry.

![Graph showing electric field responses](image)

Figure 4.3: Features observed in the electric field probe responses using the \( E_\phi \) component in the \( zx \)-plane as an example.

5 Conclusions

The spherical components of the normalized electric fields in the \( xy \), \( -yz \) and \( -zx \) planes are identical, at least in early time (time of interest), for both the vertical and slanted switch cone cases. The normalized \( E_\theta \) component in the \( xy \)-plane for the slanted bicone switch shows a smaller time spread compared to the corresponding result for the vertical bicone switch.

The observations regarding the geometry of the simulation setup in Section 4.3 give rise to an interesting possibility. Switch cones, of appropriate height, can be used to guide the spherically expanding TEM waves thus eliminating the need of the feed arms. The switch cones can therefore act as both the source and the guide, making the geometry of the switch region much simpler. Such a rotationally symmetric bicone configuration would also ensure the field remains uniform in the time of interest, \( t_\delta \). Such a design warrants further investigation.
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